| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 10/682,061 | SCUTERI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Tho D. Ta | 2833 | |

**All Participants:**

(1) *Tho D. Ta*.

(2) *Randall S. Vass*.

**Status of Application:** *Allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** *1 February 2005*

**Time:** *PM*

**Type of Interview:**
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:
*N/A*

Claims discussed:
*20, 22, 24*

Prior art documents discussed:
*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
*Attorney agreed to the changes indicate in examiner's amendment in order to incorporate the allowable subject matter from the original claim 17 into claim 24 as indicated in the first Office action.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)